

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 99-359

March 14, 2000

BELL-ATLANTIC – MAINE
Proposed Tariff for Physical, Virtual,
Microwave Collocation, Interconnection
Between Collocated Spaces, SCOPE, CCOE,
Shared Cages and Adjacent Structures

CORRECTION TO
FEBRUARY 28, 2000
ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

On February 28, 2000, we allowed Bell Atlantic-Maine's proposed schedules providing collocation arrangements and provisioning to take effect. In the Order, we referenced proposed tariffs for Physical, Virtual, and Microwave Collocation, Interconnection Between Collocated Spaces, Secured Collocation Open Physical Environment (SCOPE), Cageless Collocation Open Environment (CCOE), Shared Cages and Adjacent Structures filed by Bell Atlantic on May 28, 1999. We failed to indicate that on January 21, 2000, Bell Atlantic filed certain revised schedule sheets. The January 21, 2000 filing included: (1) rates which had been previously designated as "To Be Determined" and supporting costs for those rates; (2) revised rates for those previously calculated using costs by Bell Atlantic in Massachusetts as surrogates and supporting costs for those rates; and (3) a correction to the POT Bay Option.

Accordingly, the Commission on February 28, 2000 approved the schedules Bell Atlantic filed on May 28, 1999, as modified by the January 21, 2000 filing, which replaced the following pages from the May 28 filing:

Part E	Section 2	Pages 5,6,9,27 and 29 Original
Part E	Section 3	Page 15 Original
Part E	Section 4	Page 11 Original
Part E	Section 5	Pages 2 thru 5 of Original
Part E	Section 6	Pages 2,5 and 6 of Original
Part E	Section 9	Page 9 of Original
Part M	Section 5	Page 2 thru 14 and 18 First Draft of Original

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 14th day of March, 2000.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR:

Welch
Nugent
Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.